EAST Search History

Ref #	Hits	Search Query	DBs	Default Operator	Plurals	Time Stamp
S1	169	717/137.CCLS.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/22 15:04
S2		(byron near vargas).in.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2006/10/23 09:36
S3	460819	(translat\$3 or transform\$5 or conver\$5) with (code or object or instruction or language)	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 09:55
S4	8971	S3 and (oop or (object adj oriented adj programming) or (high-level adj programming) or (high adj level adj programming))	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 09:57
S5	6421	S4 and (database or library)	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 09:58
S6	2990	S5 and analyz\$3	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 09:59
S7	1430	S6 and pars\$3	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 09:59
S8	411	S7 and (emulat\$3 or mimic\$4 or imitat\$3)	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 10:01

EAST Search History

S9	86	S8 and (data adj manipulat\$3)	US-PGPUB; USPAT;	OR	ON	2007/04/18 10:11
			USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB			
S10	39	"5768564"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; / USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 10:38
S11	0	("2005/0273315").URPN.	USPAT	OR	ON.	2007/04/18 10:39
S12	1	"200211015"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 11:05
S13	1	pct/fi02/00411.pct.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 10:57
S14	1	2001FI-20011015	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 11:05
S15	2	"20050273315" `	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 12:39
S16	1	"200293371".PN.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 13:15
S17	41	"4729096"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR .	ON	2007/04/18 13:16

EAST Search History

S18	2	"4729096".PN.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 14:22
S19	95	S8 and (bidirection\$3 or bi-direction\$3)	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 14:24
S20	12	S8 and ((bidirection\$3 or bi-direction\$3) with (translat\$3 or transform\$5 or conver\$5))	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 14:32
S21	37	S7 and ((bidirection\$3 or bi-direction\$3) with (translat\$3 or transform\$5 or conver\$5))	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 14:37
S22	83	S19 not S21	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/18 14:37

Index of Claims



Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination
10716099	VARGAS, BYRON D.
Examiner	Art Unit
Nguyen, Phillip H	2193

1	Rejected	-	C
=	Allowed	÷	F

-	Cancelled	N	Non-Ele
÷	Restricted	ı	Interfer

lected	A	Appeal
rence	0	Objected

		1									
CLAIM			DATE								
Final	Original	10/23/2006	04/18/2007		<u> </u>						
	37	✓	-								
	38	/	-								
	39	✓	-								
	40	✓	-				9				
	41	/	-								
	42	/	-								
	43	✓	1								
	44	. 🗸	/								
	45	1	√								
	46	/	-								
	47	✓	✓								
	48	/	1							<u> </u>	

Object Pascal

There is no official Object Pascal standard, but a technical report was published in 1993 outlining the recommended standards for Object Pascal. A draft of this report is available on the web at http://pascal-central.com/ooe-stds.html. As I am not an avid user of Object-Oriented programming, only an outline of the draft report will be included in this paper (Figure 7 below). Further information can be obtained at the above web page.

- I. Introduction
- II. Scope
- III. References
- IV. Definitions
- V. Definitional Conventions
- VI. Compliance
- VII. Object Extensions
 - 1. Class Definition

Extension of the Type System, Restrictions on Class Definitions, Contents and Syntax of Class Definitions (Kinds, Inheritance, Fields, Methods, Constructors, Destructors) Scope of Entities Defined in a Class, Class Definitions

2. Kinds of Classes

(Concrete, Abstract, Property, Type Model, Views)

3. Inheritance

(The Root Class, Multiple Inheritance, Name Conflicts, Overriding, Abstract Methods, Constructors, and Destructors)

- 4. Syntax
- 5. Object Access

The Object Model, Implicit Parameter Self
Polymorphism during Construction and Destruction
Implicit References, Field References, Inherited, Reference Type Coercion
Operations
(Compatibility, Methods Activation, Constructors & Destructors,
Assignment, Comparison, Parameter Passing, Membership)

6. Predefined Entities

Null, Copy, Root (Create, Destroy, Clone, Equal) TextWritable (ReadObj and WriteObj)

- 7. Signatures
- 8. With Statement
- 9. Procedure, Function, Constructor, and Destructor Declarations
- 10. Changes to Export Clause
- 11. Visibility
- 12. Extended Pascal Features
- 13. Suggested Changes to Extended Pascal

Object Oriented Programming in C

Laurent Deniau

March 10, 2001 revised May 17, 2001

[Introduction | Object Model

Object | Class | Messages | Methods | Encapsulation | Interface | Implementation | Inheritance | Multiple Inheritance | Polymorphism | Abstract Class | Genericity | Exceptions | Debugging | ISO C99 | Performances | Keywords | Examples | References | Mailing List | ChangeLog]

my OOPC home page

Introduction

This paper presents some programming techniques that allow large projects based on ISO C89 to get the benefits of object oriented design. It doesn't intend to be a course on OOP techniques and assumes the reader to have a good knowledge of the C language. Since OOPC is based on the C++ Object Model, a good knowledge of C++ may help to understanding it better. These techniques may be useful for programmers who have not a C++ compiler for their architecture (calculators, small systems, embedded systems). It may also be useful for people who are disappointed by C++ compilers which do not behave like the norm says or even do not support all the C++ features or by C++ APIs that change from time to time. In fact, I don't know (at the revised date of this paper) any compiler which fully support the norm C++98. It is clear that the techniques presented here have not the pretension to replace C++, that is impossible without a *cfront* translator (OOPC uses only C macros and few C lines), but it provides enough to do serious OOP:

- The procedural model using C functions...
- The abstract data type model using public interface and private implementation as well as data and names encapsulation.
- The *object-oriented model* using (multiple) inheritance and polymorphism which allows to manipulate different object types through a common interface.

OOPC also provides mechanisms to handle easily:

- Genericity (C++: templates).
- Exceptions (C++: exceptions, try, catch, throw, auto ptr).
- Debugging (dynamic allocation, function call, object construction).

Comparing to the C++ Object Model, OOPC does not provide:

- Automatic object construction and destruction (must be explicitly called).
- Automatic array of objects construction and destruction (must be done by user).
- Non-polymorphic objects (all objects are polymorphic).
- Virtual inheritance (too complex and not essential).